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These people talk in absolutes without doing their due diligence.
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MY COMMENTS ON THE ARTICLE:

One of the authors of this post is Dara Lind (photo=cartoon avatar). The closest thing to a "bio" on her can be found at http://blog.simplejustice.us/2015/11/11/dara-lind-yale-promised-paradise/ . She is a Yale wanna-be writer with little political savvy or horse-sense.

The other author of your piece is Jeff Stein. The Vox.com bio on him states he is a, "Reporter on politics & policy." And he is highlighted there with the description as: Founder & former editor of the Ithaca Voice." (http://ithacavoice.com/) also http://ithacavoice.com/2015/05/about-us-meet-jeff-stein-editor-of-the-voice/

With this in mind, I can see that both Ms. Lind and Mr. Stein are merely parroting their favorite propaganda that they have been hearing for the last 7 years (via MSM zombie-walking-dead-verbiage regarding Soetoro's (aka BHO) ineligibility matter. Most of what they say is pure mindless hogwash!

Here is some of their HOGWASH I had to sludge through:
Their 2nd paragraph shows that these two young so-called reporters don’t understand the U.S. Constitution. Or at least they do not understand Art II which this piece is all about. The 1st paragraph implied there was a problem with Cruz's "eligibility" but they did not start out adequately. All they said was, "Cruz was born in Canada to a US mother and a non-citizen father." Imposing this was an okay qualification to be the Constitutional leader of the United States.

The authors finally placed the question midway in the article when they asked, "...whether Ted Cruz should be considered a natural-born citizen,..." This article and all articles like it should have started out with the constitutional demand: "...No person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President;..." up front and not midway in the article.

The authors really showed their ignorance when they made the asinine statement, "The problem is the Constitution doesn't define "natural born Citizen."

Apparently the public school system that these two mindless libbers attended didn't teach the constitution any more... like they taught me back in the sixties. I was instructed... that only a natural born citizen can be President... I was taught the definition of NATURAL BORN... and that the opposite of “natural” is “ALIEN OR FOREIGN. “ So anyone born in an alien country or have alien parents CANNOT be PRESIDENT! What else needs to be defined?!

DEFINITION:

Alien: a resident born in or belonging to another country who has not acquired citizenship by naturalization (distinguished from citizen ). 2. a foreigner.

By what stretch of the imagination can NATURAL = ALIEN or ALIEN = NATURAL? And then these idiot liberal reporters describe that one of the 3 ways a citizen can be a citizen is by being a "birthright citizen." They parrot the words, "He can be born in the US (regardless of who his parents are)." It is clear they have never read the words of Senator Jacob Howard that promised that the 14th Amendment would NEVER APPLY TO ALIENS OR FOREIGNERS!

And then these moron "reporters" claim, "Everyone agrees that the first category of people are natural-born citizens." (See above) No! We do not agree that someone with one or more alien parents CAN BE NATURAL BORN CITIZENS!

Then these liberal morons continue by questioning people like me who question Cruz's citizenship...they say, "But Ted Cruz is in the middle category, and this is where the meaning of "natural born" starts to get fuzzy."

No! It does not get fuzzy! We have Cruz's mommie who moved to Canada. She married a Cuba immigrant and birthed her baby in Canada. She voted in Canadian elections and remained in Canada as a Canadian citizen. And even if she did retain her US citizenship she still failed to file the proper paperwork protecting Rafael Edward Cruz's improbable citizenship. She has to prove she did NOT give up “her” US citizenship to gain Canadian citizenship. When did she get her citizenship back? And young Rafael was a Canadian citizen until 2014 when he finally "gave up his foreign
citizenship." When was American citizenship ever bestowed upon him?! It is my contention that HE IS NOT A U.S. CITIZEN. He needs the documents to prove his ballot challenge is false and without merit! So far he refuses to do so.

These reporters imply that Mrs. Cruz was a “dual citizen" but she has no lawful constitutional mandate saying she could be the citizen of two countries at once. The US Constitution has NEVER allowed dual citizenship. It is a Marxist slight-of-hand trick (secretly imposed by the corrupt international bankster/court system) that helps the Comrades of commie countries to assimilate foreign peoples.

And then we get to my contention that Mr. Cruz is NOT a US Citizen. He IS STILL AN ALIEN and if there is any part of the birth that is alien then one is not Natural Born!

And yes! The 1790 Naturalization Act does partially define that "the children of citizens of the United States, ... shall be considered as natural-born citizens." (plural). It is almost the mirror image of Vattel's "natural-born citizens, are those born in the country, of parents who are citizens." statement.

Yet the 1795 Naturalization Act repealed the 1790 Act and changed the "BIRTH PLACE"... "and the children of citizens of the United States, born out of the limits and jurisdiction of the United States, shall be considered as citizens of the United States:...."

You can see they changed the words NATURAL BORN CITIZENS to only CITIZENS.

So, contrary to Lind and Stein, Cruz fails the Natural born requirement in two areas. 1) His father was an alien, 2) He birth country was alien! Hence, he is not Constitutionally eligible to run for president!
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